REMARKS

This application contains claims 1-417, the status of which is as follows:

- (a) Claims 29, 39, 41, 45-46, and 54 are as originally filed.
- (b) Claims 31, 37-38, 40, 57, 131-133, 136-140, 143-144, and 410-415 were previously presented.
- (c) Claim 135 has been currently amended to correct a typographical error.
 - (d) Claims 416-417 are new.
- (e) Claims 2, 4-8, 13-16, 18-25, 27-28, 30, 32-36, 42-44, 47-53, 55-56, 59-66, 77-78, 81-130, 141-142, and 145-406 were previously canceled. The Applicant may prosecute these claims in a continuation application.
- (f) Claims 1, 3, 9-12, 17, 26, 58, 67-76, 79-80, and 407-409 have been currently canceled without prejudice. The Applicant intends to prosecute these apparatus claims in copending Application No. 10/258,714, as discussed hereinbelow.
- (g) Claim 134 has been currently canceled without prejudice.

No new matter has been added.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10-12, 17, 26, 29, 31, 37-41, 45-46, 54, and 57-58 were provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 5-30, 32, 33, 36-61, and 63-216 of copending Application No. 10/258,714. Claims 67-76, 79, 80, 131-140, 143, 144, and 407-

415 were provisionally rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5-30, 32, 33, 36-61, and 63-216 of copending Application No. 10/258,714 in view of US Patent 6,410,046 to Lerner.

While not necessarily agreeing with the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection, in order to expedite the issuance of a patent on the claims believed to be allowable, the Applicant has:

- (a) canceled all of the pending <u>apparatus</u> claims in the present application (claims 1, 3, 9-12, 17, 26, 58, 67-76, 79-80, and 407-409). As mentioned above, the Applicant intends to prosecute these apparatus claims in copending Application No. 10/258,714; and
- (b) canceled all of the <u>method</u> claims in copending Application No. 10/258,714, in an amendment filed January 11, 2006.

The Applicant submits that the cancellation of these claims renders the statutory double patenting rejection moot. Although the Applicant also believes that the cancellation of these claims renders the nonstatutory double patenting rejection moot, the Applicant is filing a terminal disclaimer in the present application in order to expedite the issuance of a patent on the claims believed to be allowable.

Claims 1, 3, 9-12, 17, 26, 58, 67-76, 79, 80, and 407-409 (all of the apparatus claims of the present application) were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

Appln. No. 10/753,882 Amdt. dated February 8, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 16, 2005

unpatentable over US Patent 6,410,046 to Lerner. While disagreeing with the Examiner's grounds for rejection, in order to expedite the issuance of a patent on the claims believed to be allowable in the present application, the Applicant has canceled all of these apparatus claims. As mentioned above, the Applicant intends to prosecute these canceled apparatus claims in copending Application No. 10/258,714.

The double patenting rejections were the only grounds for rejection of the method claims (claims 29, 31, 37-41, 45-46, 54, 57, 131-133, 135-140, 143-144, and 410-415). As described above, these rejections have been rendered moot and/or overcome by the filing of the terminal disclaimer. The Applicant thus submits that method claims 29, 31, 37-41, 45-46, 54, 57, 131-133, 135-140, 143-144, and 410-415 are now in a condition for allowance.

Claims 43-44 were objected to because of the following informalities: claims 43 and 44 have not been formally cancelled. The Applicant hereby formally cancels these claims.

The status identifiers of claims 31, 37, 38, 40, and 57 have been corrected to read "previously presented" rather than "original," because these claims were amended in the parent application (Application No. 10/258,714) to remove multiple dependencies. The Applicant notes that the claim numbering in the present application is slightly different from that of the parent application, but respectfully suggests

that renumbering the claims at this stage of prosecution would reduce rather than increase the clarity of the prosecution record.

The status identifiers of claims 131-133, 136-140, and 143-144 have been corrected to read "previously presented" rather than "original." These claims, as well as claim 135, were previously presented in a preliminary amendment dated May 13, 2004. These claims are supported in the specification as originally filed, as indicated in the following table (many of the claims find support in multiple locations in the specification in addition to those provided):

	I
Claim	Support in specification
131 ("to treat a	"It is still a further object of
condition of the	some aspects of the present
patient")	invention to provide improved
	methods and apparatus for remedying
	or modifying neurological activities
	and disorders via delivery of
	compounds through the blood-brain-
	barrier" (p. 4, lines 24-26).
	"These embodiments may be used in
	many medical applications"
	(page 5, lines 19-20).
	"In general, it is believed that
	substantially all pharmacological
	treatments aimed at cerebral cells
	for neurological and psychiatric
	disorders are amenable for use with
	these embodiments of the present
	invention" (p. 7, lines 21-24).
132 ("setting a	"Microprocessor 32, in turn,
parameter of the	preferably processes control signal
current")	34 and feedback signal 36 so as to
	determine one or more parameters of
	the electric current to be applied
	through electrodes 24" (p. 16, lines
	19-22).

Claim	Support in specification
133 ("a frequency of the	
current")	and 28 and/or other circuitry in
	units 20 or 30 may determine the
	intensity, frequency, shape,
·	monophasic or biphasic mode, or DC
	offset of the signal (e.g., a series
	of pulses) applied to designated
	tissue" (p. 16, lines 26-29).
135 ("setting the	" low frequencies cause
frequency to be greater	secretion of NO, while high
than about 10 Hz")	frequencies (e.g., above about 10
	Hz) cause secretion of peptides
	(VIP)" (page 14, lines 27-28).
136 ("an amplitude of	"The configuration of couplers 26
the current")	and 28 and/or other circuitry in
	units 20 or 30 may determine the
	intensity, frequency, shape,
	monophasic or biphasic mode, or DC
	offset of the signal (e.g., a series
	of pulses) applied to designated
	tissue" (p. 16, lines 26-29).

Claim	Support in specification
137 ("a waveform of the	"For some applications, the waveform
current")	applied by one or more of electrodes
	24 to designated tissue (e.g., the
	SPG) comprises a waveform with an
	exponential decay, a ramp up or
	down, a square wave, a sinusoid, a
	saw tooth, a DC component"
	(page 17, line 9-11).
138 ("a shape of the	"For some applications, the waveform
waveform is selected	applied by one or more of electrodes
from the list consisting	24 to designated tissue (e.g., the
of: an exponential	SPG) comprises a waveform with an
decay, a ramp up or	exponential decay, a ramp up or
down, a square wave, a	down, a square wave, a sinusoid, a
monophasic shape, a	saw tooth, a DC component"
biphasic shape, a	(page 17, line 9-11). "The
sinusoid, a saw tooth,	configuration of couplers 26 and 28
and a DC component"	and/or other circuitry in units 20
	or 30 may determine the intensity,
	frequency, shape, monophasic or
	biphasic mode, or DC offset of the
	signal (e.g., a series of pulses)
	applied to designated tissue" (page
	16, lines 26-29).

Appln. No. 10/753,882

Amdt. dated February 8, 2006 Reply to Office action of December 16, 2005

Claim	Support in specification
139 ("one or more pulse	"The configuration of couplers 26
bursts")	and 28 and/or other circuitry in
	units 20 or 30 may determine the
	intensity, frequency, shape,
	monophasic or biphasic mode, or DC
	offset of the signal (e.g., a series
	of pulses) applied to designated
	tissue" (page 16, lines 26-29).
140 ("a chemotherapeutic	"Embodiments of the present
drug")	invention have many medical
	applications. For example,
	chemotherapeutic drugs need to pass
	into cerebral tissue in order to
	treat brain tumors" (page 23, lines
	16-18).
143 ("implanting a	"For some applications, stimulator 4
control unit at a site	is implanted on top of the bony
at a top of a bony	palate" (page 13, line 17).
palate")	
144 ("implanting a	"Alternatively or additionally, the
control unit at a site	stimulator is implanted at the lower
at a lower side of a	side of the bony palate " (page
bony palate")	13, lines 18-19).

Dependent method claims 416 and 417 are new. claims respectively recite the two elements of the Markush group of claim 29, as originally filed. Because these new Appln. No. 10/753,882

Amdt. dated February 8, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 16, 2005

claims are of narrower scope than allowable claim 29 from which they depend, the Applicant submits that claims 416 and 417 are allowable.

The Applicant brings to the Examiner's attention copending Application Nos. 10/258,714 (filed January 22, 2003), 10/294,310 (filed November 14, 2002), 10/783,113 (filed February 20, 2004), 10/952,536 (filed September 27, 2004), 10/512,780 (filed June 1, 2005), 10/522,615, 10/518,322 (filed July 8, 2005), 10/535,024, and 10/535,025, and to co-assigned US Patent 6,853,858, issued February 8, 2005, which may be material to patentability of the present application.

The Applicant believes the amendments and remarks presented hereinabove to be fully responsive to all of the grounds of objection and rejection raised by the Examiner. In view of these amendments and remarks, the Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims in the present application are now in order for allowance. Notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Ву

Roger L. Browdy

Registration No. 25,618

RLB:rd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\C\colb\Shalev2A\Pto\2006-02-08Amendment.doc